

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 EULA LEE M. JACKSON,

11 Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-03-2640 WBS DAD PS

12 vs.

13 INVESTMENT COUNTY OF
14 SACRAMENTO,

15 Defendant.

ORDER

16 Plaintiff, proceeding pro se, filed a request to reopen the above-entitled action,
17 which was closed on May 7, 2004. The request was referred to the United States Magistrate
18 Judge by minute order filed October 1, 2009.

19 On October 5, 2009, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations
20 which were served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections to the
21 findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty days. In the interests of justice,
22 plaintiff's letter filed October 22, 2009 will be construed as objections to the findings and
23 recommendations.

24 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 72-
25 304, this court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the
26 entire

1 /////


2 file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by
3 proper analysis.

4 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

5 1. The findings and recommendations filed October 5, 2009 (Doc. No. 11) are
6 adopted in full; and

7 2. Plaintiff's August 5, 2009 request to reopen this case (Doc. No. 9) is denied.

8 DATED: November 10, 2009

9
10 

11 WILLIAM B. SHUBB

12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

13
14 /jackson2640.jo2
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26